DYNAMICS OF SERIAL ROBOTIC MANIPULATORS

NOMENCLATURE AND BASIC DEFINITION

We consider here a mechanical system composed of r rigid bodies and denote:

■ **M**_i 6x6 inertia dyads of the ith body.

• Wi 6 x 6 angular-velocity dyad of the ith body.

$$\mathbf{M}_{i} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{i} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & m_{i} \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{W}_{i} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Omega}_{i} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \qquad i = 1, 2 \dots r$$

Where:

1 is 3x3 identity matrix

O is 3x3 zero matrix

 Ω_i is the angular velocity matrix of the *i*th body

 \mathbf{I}_i is the inertial matrix of the *i*th body

NOMENCLATURE AND BASIC DEFINITION

Furthermore we define:

- \mathbf{w}_{i}^{w} working wrench exerted on the *i*th body
- \mathbf{w}_{i}^{c} nonworking constraint wrench exerted on the *i*th body
- t_i twist of the *i*th body
- μ_i 6-D momentum screw

$$\mathbf{w}^{W}{}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n}_{i}^{W} \\ \mathbf{f}_{i}^{W} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{w}^{C}{}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n}_{i}^{C} \\ \mathbf{f}_{i}^{C} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{t}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{c}}_{i} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \\ m_{i} \dot{\boldsymbol{c}}_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where :

- **n**_i moment acting on *i*th body
- **f**_i force acting on *i*th body

NEWTON-EULER EQUATIONS OF THE ITH RIGID BODY Clearly, from the definitions of M_i, µ_i and t_i we have

$$\boldsymbol{\mu_i} = \mathbf{M}_i \mathbf{t}_i$$

Deriving, we obtain:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i = \boldsymbol{M}_i \dot{\boldsymbol{t}}_i + \boldsymbol{W}_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \boldsymbol{M}_i \dot{\boldsymbol{t}}_i + \boldsymbol{W}_i \boldsymbol{M}_i \boldsymbol{t}_i$$

Recalling the Newton-Euler equations for a rigid body:

$$\mathbf{I}_{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{i} = -\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times \mathbf{I}_{i}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} + \mathbf{n}^{W}_{i} + \mathbf{n}^{C}_{i}$$
$$\mathbf{m}_{i}\ddot{\mathbf{c}}_{i} = \mathbf{f}_{i}^{W} + \mathbf{f}_{i}^{C}$$

which can be written in compact form using the foregoing definitions, we obtain the Newton-Euler equations of the *i*th body in the form:

$$\mathbf{M}_i \dot{\mathbf{t}}_i = -\mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{M}_i \mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{w}_i^W + \mathbf{w}_i^C$$

THE EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS OF SERIAL MANIPULATORS The Euler-Lagrange dynamical equations of a mechanical system are now recalled, as pertaining to serial manipulators. Thus, the mechanical system at hand has n degrees of freedom, its *n* independent generalized coordinates being the *n* joint variables, which are stored in the *n*-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We thus have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{\theta}}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{\theta}} \right) = \phi$$

where T is a scalar function denoting the kinetic energy of the system and ϕ is the *n*-dimensional vector of generalized force.

We can decompose ϕ into two parts, ϕ_{p} and ϕ_{n} , the former arising from V and termed the conservative force of the system; the latter is the nonconservative $\phi_p \equiv -\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{q}}$ force. That is: The above Euler-Lagrange equations thus becoming: $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{L}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{\theta}}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathrm{L}}{\partial \mathbf{\theta}} = \phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ Where L is the Lagrangian of the system, defined as: $L \equiv T - V$

Moreover, the kinetic energy of the system is given by the sum of all the kinetic energies of r links. The kinetic energy of the rigid body in term of generalized variables is:

$$T = \sum_{i}^{r} T_{i} = \sum_{i}^{r} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{M}_{i} \mathbf{t}_{i}$$

whereas the vector of nonconservative generalized forces is given by: $\phi_n \equiv \frac{\partial \prod^A}{\partial \dot{\theta}} - \frac{\partial \prod^D}{\partial \dot{\theta}}$ As denotes \prod^A the active power and the \prod^D power dissipated in the system

The wrench \mathbf{w}_i^E is decomposed into two parts: \mathbf{w}_i^A active wrench supplied by the actuators and \mathbf{w}_i^D dissipative wrench arises from viscous and Coulomb friction Thus, the power supplied to the *i*th link is readily computed

as:

$$\prod_{i}^{A} = (\mathbf{w}_{i}^{A})^{T} \mathbf{t}_{i} \qquad \prod_{i}^{D} = (\mathbf{w}_{i}^{D})^{T} \mathbf{t}_{i}$$

Similar to the kinetic energy, then, the power supplied to the overall system is simply the sum of the individual powers supplied to each link, and expressed as:

$$\Pi^{A} = \Sigma_{1}^{r} \Pi^{A}{}_{i} \qquad \Pi^{D} = \Sigma_{1}^{r} \Pi^{D}{}_{i}$$

- Further definitions are now introduced:
- **t** manipulator twist
- μ manipulator momentum
- \mathbf{w}^{C} manipulator constraint wrench
- $\mathbf{w}^{\mathcal{A}}$ manipulator active wrench
- \mathbf{w}^D manipulator dissipative wrench



Additionally, the 6nx6n matrices of manipulator mass **M** and manipulator angular velocity **W** are also introduced below:

 $\mathbf{M} = \operatorname{diag} \left(\mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{M}_{n}\right)$ $\mathbf{W} = \operatorname{diag} \left(\mathbf{W}_{1}, \mathbf{W}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{n}\right)$

From this definitions we have:

 $\mu = Mt$ $\dot{\mu} = M\dot{t} + WMt$

With the foregoing definitions, then, the kinetic energy of the manipulator takes on a simple form, namely,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

Which is a quadratic form in the system twist. Since the twist, on the other hand, is a linear function of the vector $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ of joint rates, the kinetic energy turns out to be a quadratic form in the vector of joint rates.

Moreover, we will assume that this form is homogeneous in $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Notice that the above assumption implies that the base of the robot is fixed to an inertial base, and hence, when all joints are locked, the kinetic energy of the robot vanishes.

It is apparent that:
$$I(\theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \dot{\theta}^2}(T)$$

Furthermore, the Euler-Lagrange equations can be written in the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \phi_n$$

The partial derivatives appearing in the foregoing equation take the forms derived below:

and hence:
$$\frac{dT}{d\dot{\theta}} = \mathbf{I}(\theta)\dot{\theta}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{\theta}}\right) = \mathbf{I}(\theta)\dot{\theta} + \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\theta, \dot{\theta})\dot{\theta}$$

We express the kinetic energy in the form:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Where $\mathbf{p}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$ is the generalized momentum of the manipulator, defined as:

 $\mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Hence:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

THE EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS OF SERIAL MANIPULATORS The Euler-Lagrange equations thus taking on the

alternative form:

$$\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{I} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right]^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \phi_n$$

Consider the manipulator of Figure with links designed so that their mass centers C_1 , C_2 , C_3 are located at the midpoints of segments O_1O_2 , O_2O_3 , and O_3P , respectively. Moreover, the *i*th link has a mass m_i and a centroidal moment of inertia in a direction normal to the plane of motion I, while the joints are actuated by motors delivering torques τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_3 the lubricant of the joints producing dissipative torques that we will neglect in this model. Under the assumption that gravity acts in the direction of -Y, find the associated Euler-Lagrange equations.

SOLUTION:



All vectors introduced below are 2-D, the scalar angular velocities of the links ωi , for i = 1, 2, 3, being: $\omega_1 = \dot{\theta}_1$ $\omega_2 = \dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2$ $\omega_3 = \dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 + \dot{\theta}_3$

Moreover, the velocities of the mass centers are:

 $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\theta}_1 \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a}_1$ $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_2 = \dot{\theta}_1 \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a}_2$ $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_3 = \dot{\theta}_1 \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a}_1 + (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a}_2 + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 + \dot{\theta}_3) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a}_3$ The kinetic energy then becoming: $T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (m_i \| \dot{\mathbf{c}}_i \|^2 + I_i \omega_i^2)$

The squared magnitudes of the mass-center velocities are now computed using the expressions derived above. After simplifications, these yield $\|\dot{\mathbf{c}}_1\|^2 = \frac{1}{4}a_1^2\dot{\theta}_1^2$

$$\|\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{2}\|^{2} = a_{1}^{2}\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}a_{2}^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} + 2\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2} + \dot{\theta}_{2}^{2}\right) + a_{1}a_{2}\cos\theta_{2}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} + \dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{2}\right)$$

The kinetic energy of the whole manipulator thus becomes:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_{11} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} + 2I_{12} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{2} + 2I_{23} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{3} + I_{22} \dot{\theta}_{2}^{2} + 2I_{33} \dot{\theta}_{3}^{2} \right)$$
with coefficients I_{ij} , for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $j = i$ to 3 being the distinct entries of the 3 × 3 matrix of generalized inertia of the system. These entries are given below:
$$I_{11} = I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + \frac{1}{4} m_{1} a_{1}^{2} + m_{2} \left(a_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} a_{2}^{2} + a_{1} a_{2} c_{2} \right) + m_{3} \left(a_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} a_{3}^{2} + 2a_{1} a_{3} c_{2} + a_{1} a_{3} c_{3} + a_{2} a_{3} c_{3} \right)$$

$$I_{12} = I_{2} + I_{3} + \frac{1}{2} \left[m_{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2} + a_{1} a_{2} c_{2} \right) \right] + \left[m_{3} \left(2a_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2} + 2a_{1} a_{3} c_{2} + 2a_{2} a_{3} c_{3} \right) \right]$$

$$I_{13} = I_{3} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2} + a_{1} a_{3} c_{2} + a_{2} a_{3} c_{3} \right)$$

EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS OF A PLANAR ROBOT $I_{22} = I_2 + I_3 + \frac{1}{4}m_2a_2^2 + m_3\left(a_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}a_3^2 + a_2a_3c_3\right)$ $I_{23} = I_3 + \frac{1}{2}m_3(a_3^2 + a_2a_3c_3)$ $I_{33} = I_3 + \frac{1}{4}m_3a_3^2$ where: $c_i = \cos \theta_i e c_{ij} = \cos(\theta_i + \theta_j)$ Furthermore, the potential energy of the manipulator is computed as the sum of the individual link potential energies : $=\frac{1}{2}m_1ga_1sen\theta_1 + m_2g\left[a_1sen\theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2sen(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\right]$ $+ m_3 g \left[a_1 sen \theta_1 + a_2 sen \left(\theta_1 + \theta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} a_3 sen \left(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \right) \right]$

While the total power delivered to the manipulator takes the form:

$$\prod = \tau_1 \dot{\theta_1} + \tau_2 \dot{\theta_2} + \tau_3 \dot{\theta_3}$$

We now proceed to compute the various terms: $I_{11}^{\cdot} = -m_2 a_1 a_2 s_2 \dot{\theta}_2 - m_3 [2a_1 a_2 s_2 \dot{\theta}_2 + a_1 a_3 s_{23} (\dot{\theta}_2 + \dot{\theta}_3) + a_2 a_3 s_3 \dot{\theta}_3]$ $I_{22}^{\cdot} = \frac{1}{2} \{ -m_2 a_1 a_2 s_2 \dot{\theta}_2 - m_3 [2a_1 a_2 s_2 \dot{\theta}_2 + a_1 a_3 s_{23} (\dot{\theta}_2 + \dot{\theta}_3) + a_2 a_3 s_3 \dot{\theta}_3] \}$ $I_{12}^{\cdot} = -\frac{1}{2} m_3 [a_1 a_3 s_{23} (\dot{\theta}_2 + \dot{\theta}_3) + a_2 a_3 s_3 \dot{\theta}_3]$ $I_{23}^{\cdot} = -m_3 a_2 a_3 s_3 \dot{\theta}_3$ $I_{33}^{\cdot} = 0$

We have then:

$$\mathbf{\dot{I}}\mathbf{\dot{\Theta}} = \mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{I_{11}}\dot{\theta_1} + \dot{I_{12}}\dot{\theta_2} + \dot{I_{13}}\dot{\theta_3} \\ \dot{I_{12}}\dot{\theta_1} + \dot{I_{22}}\dot{\theta_2} + \dot{I_{23}}\dot{\theta_3} \\ \dot{I_{13}}\dot{\theta_1} + \dot{I_{23}}\dot{\theta_2} + \dot{I_{33}}\dot{\theta_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

whose components are readily calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} & n_1 \\ &= -[m_2a_1a_2s_2 + m_3(2a_1a_2s_2 + a_1a_3s_{23})]\dot{\theta_1}\dot{\theta_2} - m_3(a_1a_3s_{23} + a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_1}\dot{\theta_3} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}[m_2a_1a_2s_2 + m_3(2a_1a_2s_2 + a_1a_3s_{23})]\dot{\theta_2}^2 - m_3(a_1a_3s_{23})\dot{\theta_2}\dot{\theta_3} - \frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_3s_{23})\dot{\theta_3}^2 \\ & h_2 = \frac{1}{2}[m_2a_1a_2s_2 + m_3(2a_1a_2s_2 + a_1a_3s_{23})]\dot{\theta_1}\dot{\theta_2} - \frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_3s_3 + a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_1}\dot{\theta_3} - m_3a_2a_3s_3\dot{\theta_2}\dot{\theta_3} - \frac{1}{2}m_3a_2a_3s_3\theta_3^2 \\ & h_3 = -\frac{1}{2}m_3a_1a_3s_{23}\dot{\theta_1}\dot{\theta_3} - \frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_3s_{23} + a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_1}\dot{\theta_3} - \frac{1}{2}m_3a_2a_3s_3\dot{\theta_2}\dot{\theta_3} \end{aligned}$$

 $\frac{\partial I \dot{\theta}}{\partial \theta} = I' \text{ its entries being denoted by } I'_{ij}. \text{ This matrix,}$ in component form, is given by :

 $\mathbf{I}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{11,2}\dot{\theta_1} + I_{12,2}\dot{\theta_2} + I_{13,2}\dot{\theta_3} & I_{11,3}\dot{\theta_1} + I_{12,3}\dot{\theta_2} + I_{13,3}\dot{\theta_3} \\ 0 & I_{12,2}\dot{\theta_1} + I_{22,2}\dot{\theta_2} + I_{13,2}\dot{\theta_3} & I_{12,3}\dot{\theta_1} + I_{22,3}\dot{\theta_2} + I_{23,3}\dot{\theta_3} \\ 0 & I_{13,2}\dot{\theta_1} + I_{23,2}\dot{\theta_2} + I_{33,2}\dot{\theta_3} & I_{13,3}\dot{\theta_1} + I_{23,3}\dot{\theta_2} + I_{33,3}\dot{\theta_3} \end{bmatrix}$

with the shorthand notation $I_{ij,k}$ indicating the partial derivative of I_{ij} with respect to θ_k . As the reader can verify, these entries are given as: $I'_{11} = 0$

$$\begin{split} I'_{12} &= -[m_2a_1a_2s_2 + m_3(2a_1a_2s_2 + a_1a_3s_{23})]\dot{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{2}[m_2a_1a_2s_2 + m_3(2a_1a_2s_2 + a_1a_3s_{23})]\dot{\theta_2} - \frac{1}{2}m_3a_1a_3s_{23}\dot{\theta_3}\\ I'_{13} &= -m_3(a_1a_3s_{23} + a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_3s_{23} + 2a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_2} - \frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_3s_{23} + a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_3}\\ I'_{21} &= 0\\ I'_{22} &= -\frac{1}{2}m_2a_1a_2s_2 + m_3(2a_1a_2s_2 + a_1a_3s_{23})]\dot{\theta_1}\\ I'_{23} &= -\frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_2s_{23} + 2a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_1} - m_3a_2a_3s_3\dot{\theta_2} - \frac{1}{2}m_3a_2a_3s_3\dot{\theta_3}\\ I'_{31} &= 0\\ I'_{32} &= -\frac{1}{2}m_3a_1a_3s_{23}\dot{\theta_1}\\ I'_{33} &= -\frac{1}{2}m_3(a_1a_3s_{23} + a_2a_3s_3)\dot{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{2}m_3a_2a_3s_3\dot{\theta_2} \end{split}$$

Now, we define the 3-dimensional vector γ below: $\left[a(\mathbf{I}, \dot{\mathbf{A}})\right]^T$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{I} \, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \end{bmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

its three components being:

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{1} &= 0\\ \gamma_{2} &= -[m_{2}a_{1}a_{2}s_{2} + m_{3}(2a_{1}a_{2}s_{2} + a_{1}a_{3}s_{23})]\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} - [m_{2}a_{1}a_{2}s_{2} + m_{3}(2a_{1}a_{2}s_{2} + a_{1}a_{3}s_{23})]\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2} - m_{3}a_{1}a_{3}s_{23}\theta_{1}^{2}\theta_{3}^{2}\\ \gamma_{3} \\ &= -m_{3}(a_{1}a_{2}s_{23} + a_{2}a_{3}s_{3})\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} - m_{3}(a_{1}a_{3}s_{23} + 2a_{2}a_{3}s_{23} + 2a_{2}a_{3}s_{3})\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2} - m_{3}(a_{1}a_{3}s_{23} + a_{2}a_{3}s_{3})\dot{\theta}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{3}\\ &- m_{3}a_{2}a_{3}s_{3}\dot{\theta}_{3}^{2} - m_{3}a_{2}a_{3}s_{3}\dot{\theta}_{2}\dot{\theta}_{3} \end{split}$$

We now turn to the computation of the partial derivatives of the potential energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_1} &= \frac{1}{2} m_1 g a_1 c_1 + m_2 g \left(a_1 c_1 + \frac{1}{2} a_2 c_{12} \right) + m_3 g \left(a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_{12} + \frac{1}{2} a_2 c_{123} \right) \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_2} &= \frac{1}{2} m_2 g a_2 + m_3 g \left(a_2 c_{12} + \frac{1}{2} a_3 c_{123} \right) \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_3} &= \frac{1}{2} m_3 g a_3 c_{123} \end{aligned}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations thus reduce to:

$$\begin{split} I_{11}\ddot{\theta_1} + I_{12}\ddot{\theta_2} + I_{13}\ddot{\theta_3} + h_1 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2}m_1ga_1c_1 + m_2g\left(a_1c_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2c_{12}\right) \\ + m_3g\left(a_1c_1 + a_2c_{12} + \frac{1}{2}a_2c_{123}\right) &= \tau_1 \\ I_{12}\ddot{\theta_1} + I_{12}\ddot{\theta_2} + I_{23}\ddot{\theta_3} + h_2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2ga_2 + m_3g\left(a_2c_{12} + \frac{1}{2}a_3c_{123}\right) \\ &= \tau_2 \\ I_{13}\ddot{\theta_1} + I_{23}\ddot{\theta_2} + I_{33}\ddot{\theta_3} + h_3 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 + \frac{1}{2}m_3ga_3c_{123} = \tau_3 \end{split}$$